# CRANIOSYNOSTOSIS

## Abstract

Because of a lack of growth perpendicular to the fused suture and compensatory regrowth at the non-fused sutures, cranial sutures that fuse prematurely cause distortion of the skull's shape. It can be categorised as solitary, syndromic, or nonsyndromic. The worst types of cranial deformities and total cranial development restriction brought on by craniosynostosis can lead to elevated intracranial pressure. When craniosynostosis is detected, it is treated surgically.

**Keywords:** craniosynostosis, fusion, isolated, restriction, surgical

## Authors

## Dr. Aparna Dwivedi

Post- Graduate Trainee Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology Institute of Dental Sciences and Research Haldia, West Bengal, India aparnatofficialid@gmail.com

## **Prof. Dr. Soumyabrata Sarkar**

Head of the Department, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology Institute of Dental Sciences and Research Haldia, West Bengal, India dr.rupsarkar@gmail.com

## I. CRANIOSYNOSTOSIS

Our bones support our bodies and contribute to our shape. An adult's body contains 206 bones. The 22 bones that make up the human skull are comprised of 8 cranial bones and 14 facial bones.<sup>1</sup> The occipital bone, temporal bones, parietal bones, sphenoid, ethmoid, and frontal bones make up the brain case, or neurocranium. The calvaria, also known as the cranial vault, skull vault, or skullcap, makes up the human body. It consists of 15 sutures, of which 3 (coronal, sagittal, and lambdoid) are single sutures and the remaining 7 (squamous, spheno-frontal, spheno-squamous, spheno-parietal, parieto-mastoid, and occipito-mastoid) are paired ones.<sup>2</sup>



Figure 1: Cranium and its parts

One of the most prominent craniofacial anomalies is cranial synostosis (most prevalent after orofacial clefts). The word is made up of three words: "carnio," which means "cranium," "syn," which means "together," and "ostosis," which means "connected to bone." With a prevalence rate of 1 in 2250 live births, it is pervasive worldwide.<sup>4</sup>

## The most commonly used **Clinical Genetic Classification of craniosynostosis** is:

When a person has a craniosynostosis alone, it is referred to as a non-syndromic or isolated variant. When a group of anomalies, such as the Apert, Carpenter, or Crouzon syndromes, are present, it is referred to as a syndromic variant.<sup>5</sup> When an illness is sporadic, the affected person's family members do not exhibit any symptoms. 92% of occurrences of craniosynostosis are sporadic. The disease is typically solitary and non-syndromic in most cases. Increased intracranial pressure, visual or hearing impairment, sleep issues, choanal atresia, or psychomotor delay along with intellectual incapacity are sequelae of craniosynostosis. Congenital heart disorders, deformities of thehands and feet, and a delay in reaching developmental milestones are all linked to the abnormality of the skull

# Table.1: Clinical Genetic Classification of Craniosynostosis Reference: Mooney MP, Siegel MI (Eds.). (2002). Understanding Craniofacial Anomalies

Diagnostic Category	Name Of Disorder	Etiology
Isolated craniosynostosis	Morphologically described	Uterine constraint or FGFR3 mutation
Syndromic craniosynostosis	Antley-Bixler syndrome	Unknown
	Apert syndrome	Usually mutation in FGFR3
	Baere- Stevenson syndrome	Mutation in FGFR2 or FGFR3
	Baller- Gerold syndrome	Mutation in TWIST
	Carpenter syndrome	Unknown
	Craniofrontonasal dyspasia	Unknown gene at Xp22
	Crouzon syndrome	Mutations in FGFR2
	Crouzonomesodermoskelet al syndrome	Mutations in FGFR3
	Jakson- Weiss syndrome	Mutation in FGFR2
	Muenke syndrome	Mutation in FGFR3
	Pfeiffer syndrome	Mutation in FGFR1 or FGFR2
	Saethre- Chotzen syndrome	Mutation in TWIST
	Shprintzen- Goldberg syndrome	Mutation in FBN1

The incidence of non-syndromic cases in children is 1 in 5000 births for sagittal synostosis, 1 in 10,000 births for coronal synostosis, 1 in 7000–15,000 births for metopic synostosis, and less than 1 in 10,000 births for lambdoidal synostosis, according to the International Society for Pediatric Neurosurgery.<sup>6</sup> (Figure 2) According to estimates, 1 in 2,500 Indians experience craniosynostosis.7 A typical case of craniosynostosis is typically identified within the first year of life with te aid of concomitant clinical symptoms.



Figure	2:	Single	suture	cranios	vnostosis
LIGUIC		Single	Sucure	ci antos	10500515

Futuristic Trends in Medical Science ISBN: 978-93-95632-96-6 IIP Proceedings, Volume 2, Book 22, Part 4, Chapter 7 CRANIOSYNOSTOSIS



Figure.3: Approach to clinical diagnosis of craniosynostosis syndromes<sup>8</sup>

The knowledge of the genes responsible for craniosynostosis has greatly increased. FGFR, TWIST, MSX2, and EFNB1 gene mutations are the several types of genetic changes that have so far been linked to craniosynostosis. The treatment of craniosynostosis is a heterogeneous procedure, with cases of the syndromic forms requiring immediate interventions while the less severe, non-syndromic forms being treated electively due to the heterogeneous nature of the underlying causes and clinical features.<sup>9</sup>

When treating severe instances, emphasis is placed on maintaining the airway, providing feeding, providing eye protection, and maintaining normal intracranial pressure. The choice of treatment method and degree of surgery, as and when required, is based on the patient's age at the time of presentation and clinical features. The first line of treatment for craniosynostosis should be conservative, especially for patients with positional plagiocephaly and mild unilateral synostosis. The goal of surgical treatment in all cases of craniosynostosis is to create enough cranial space for optimal brain development and an appearance that is acceptable cosmetically.10

### II. DEVELOPMENT AND GROWTH OF SKULL IN CRANIOSYNOSTOSIS

Understanding the various morphological changes that organ systems go through as they develop can help clinicians diagnose and treat the dysmorphology that is present in many congenital anomaly syndromes. Human craniofacial morphologies can vary widely and are generally considered to be normal. This variety is caused by genetic and epigenetic mechanisms, such as adaptation to harsh environments. Certain morphologies make people more prone to and at risk for developing craniofacial abnormalities.<sup>11</sup>

The formation of the cranial vault is a very protracted and intricate process that begins early in embryogenesis and culminates in maturity. the formation of the cranial vault is preceded by the formation of mesenchymal cells through epithelial-mesenchymal transformation (EMT) via the mesenchymatous or pre-condensation, and the development of the cranial bones starts with the condensation of mesenchymal cells during the embryonic phase, which lasts for the first eight weeks of pregnancy. <sup>12</sup>

The second stage is the foetal period, which lasts from the end of the embryonic phase until birth. It is during this time that the rudimentary membranous skull construction known as IM ossification starts to take shape through bone remodelling and displacement. Cranial sutures, which are regarded as growth sites, are also formed during the foetal phase. Table 2 lists the several characteristics connected to the cranial vault's development during the embryonic stage.

Weeks	Days	External Features		
1	1-7	Fertilization		
2	8-14	Primitive streak develops		
3	15-21	Gastrulation commences and notochordal process forms		
		Primitive pit, neural plate, neural groove, neural folds formSomites begin to form		
4	22-28	Neural folds fuse, otic pits form Cranial neuropore closes. The first four somites are beginning to be incorporate into the occipital segmentation. Oropharyngeal membrane ruptures, optic vesicles develop, optic pits begin to form		
5	29-35	Caudal neuropore closes. Pharyngeal arches 3 and 4 form Otic vesicles form. The meninx primitive is first seen as the first signs of the cranial vault. Occipital sclerotomal mesenchyme concentrates around the notochord.		
		Cerebral hemispheres become visible		
		Sensory and parasympathetic cranial nerve ganglia begin to form		
6	36-42	The skull has a membranous roof present		
		Cerebellum begins to form. Conversion of the ectomenix mesenchyme into cartilage starts on 40-41 days. Pia mater is present around the brain.		
7	43-49	Skeletal ossification begins.		
		The first indication of dura mater is found in the skull. Chondrification continues.		
8	50-56	By the end of 2 <sup>nd</sup> month (57 days) the endomeninx covers significant portion of the brain and has develop into the arachnoid and the pia mater. Dural reflections begin to form		

#### Table.2: Stages of human embryonic developments

(Reference: Development and Growth of the Normal Cranial Vault by SW Jin, et al.)

Sutures occur at the locations where the membranous bones approximate during embryonic development, acting as a flexible fibrous tissue between the surrounding bones. The crista galli, the cribriform plate, the smaller wings of the sphenoid, and the petrous temporal crests are the locations of prominent dural reflections, which are double folding of the meningeal dura attaching the base of the cranial vault. Partitions for the cerebral cavity beneath the calvarium are made of dural reflections.<sup>13</sup>

These help identify the regions where bone growth slows down and the coronal, lambdoid, and sagittal sutures form along with falx cerebri and the tentorium cerebelli. Without these dural bands, the development of the brain would take place spherically. By 16 weeks, the sites of the reflecting bands in the dura had virtually been reached by the radiating foci of ossification. Between the spreading islands of membranous bone, these latter sites continue to be connective tissue regions that have not ossified. Sutures are formed, and the skull bone grows quickly after that. At the edge of each bone field (the osteogenic front), osteoprogenitor cells proliferate and differentiate during this second stage of development.<sup>14</sup>

The neurocranium grows in the manner described below:

- 1. By bone filling of the proliferative connective tissue, the width of the parietal, lambdoid, parietosphenoidal, and parietotemporal sutures increases.
- 2. Sutures' lengthening, notably the coronal suture, due to the expansion of the cranial base.
- 3. The parietal, occipital, temporal, and sphenoid bones have grown in height.<sup>15</sup>

In craniosynostosis, premature suture fusion prevents bone development in that area. Loss of the sutural growth sites results in the inability to accommodate the neurocranium's rapid, expanding expansion. As a result, improper compensatory morphogenesis of the head results in craniofacial dysmorphology.

## **III. ETIOLOGIES OF CRANIOSYNOSTOSIS**

Syndromic craniosynostosis is thought to have a genetic basis for its origin. Coronal suture or multiple suture synostosis with symptoms of growth, developmental retardation, or other congenital defects is more likely to be caused by a combination of genetic and/or environmental factors. Isolated craniosynostosis, as opposed to syndromic craniosynostosis, is likely a complicated feature that results from a mix of polygenic influences and epigenetic factors, or the environmental variables.<sup>16</sup>

The autosomal dominant Crouzon, Apert, and Saethre Chotzen syndromes are the most prevalent craniosynostosis syndromes. These three disorders have separate traditional clinical descriptions. These disorders' phenotypic diversity reflects the phenotypic spectrum linked to fibroblast growth receptor 2 (FGFR2) mutations. Genetic heterogeneity can be shown in the nonsyndromic coronal craniosynostosis and nonsyndromic Pfeiffer, Jackson-Weiss, and Beare-Stevenson syndromes, which can be brought on by mutations in other members of the same receptor family. The transcription factor with DNA binding and helix-loop-helix domains that the TWIST gene codes for is the cause of the allelic Saethre-Chotzen and Robinow-Soaurf syndromes.

Craniosynostosis, Boston type, with a mutation in the MSX2 gene, which codes for a transcription factor with a homeobox domain, is one of the less frequent craniosynostosis syndromes.<sup>17</sup> In 2015, 57 human genes were identified for which mutations had been shown to be causally linked to craniosynostosis. Two major groupings can be made up of these genes. First, a set of 20 genes that typically cause diseases linked to craniosynostosis. In a small percentage of cases, a second group of genes that cause illnesses are likely causally linked to craniosynostosis.<sup>18</sup>

Studies on humans and animals indicate that environmental variables are less likely to be responsible for the development of craniosynostoses, which are frequently inherited in a Mendelian fashion. The majority of environmental influences most likely interact stochastically with genetic influences, other environmental exposures, and other environmental factors in real life. The following categories best describe the various environmental factors associated with craniosynostosis:

- 1. Teratogens: Teratogens are any environmental factors that cause structural changes after fertilisation. During the time of craniofacial organogenesis, maternal exposure to certain substances may cause deformities or disturbances. Prescription drugs, their metabolites, and dietary supplements are examples of teratogens. (b) Intoxicants; (c) recreational drugs; (d) hyperthermia.
- **2.** Maternal factors: A higher frequency of craniosynostosis has been linked to a deficiency in several vitamins, such as folic acid. It is also believed that changes in maternal hormones are connected.
- **3. Intrauterine factors:** A sutural deformity may result from an anomaly in the intrauterine environment, such as foetal mandibular restriction brought on by multiple pregnancies or oligohydramnios. Similar to this, disturbance brought on by the presence of amniotic bands around the growing foetus can cause craniosynostosis.<sup>19</sup>

## IV. SYNDROMES ASSOCIATED WITH CRANIOSYNOSTOSIS

The etiologies and pathogenetics of the craniosynostoses are diverse. Premature sutural fusion can occur on its own or in combination with other defects to form different syndromes. There are over 180 recognised syndromes. Although familial occurrences of solitary craniosynostosis are recognised, the majority of cases are sporadic. Rarely occurs familial lambdoid synostosis. Coronal series exhibit associated abnormalities more frequently than sagittal series. Leg deformities, ear anomalies, and cardiovascular malformations are the three types of anomalies that are most frequently linked to syndromic.<sup>20</sup>

Futuristic Trends in Medical Science ISBN: 978-93-95632-96-6 IIP Proceedings, Volume 2, Book 22, Part 4, Chapter 7 CRANIOSYNOSTOSIS

Syndrome	Essential Features	Inheritance	
Apert, Apert-Crouzon	Craniosynostosis, severe syndactyly of hands and feet, down-turned mouth, hypertelorism	Autosomal dominant	
Saethre-Chotzen	Craniosynostosis, facial asymmetry, low-hairline ptosis, deviated nasal septum, syndactyly of second and third fingers	Autosomal dominant	
Pfeiffer, Noack	Craniosynostosis, malformed enlarged thumb and great toe, soft- tissue syndactyly of second and third digits, normal intelligence	Autosomal dominant	
Crouzon, craniofacial dysostosis	Craniosynostosis, maxillary hypoplasia, shallow orbits with proptosis, bifid uvula or cleft palate	Autosomal dominant	
Craniosynostosis, fibular aplasia, Lowry	Craniosynostosis and fibular aplasia	Autosomal recessive	
Jackson-Weiss	Craniosynostosis with midface hypooplasia, mild syndactyly of feet, broad great toes	Autosomal dominant	
Carpenter	Oxycephaly, mild syndactyly of fingers, preaxial polydactyly of feet, hypogenitalism, obesity, congenital heart disease	Autosomal recessive	

#### Other miscellaneous syndromes associated with craniosynostosis are:

- 1. Acrocephalospondylosyndactyly
- 2. Acrocraniofacial dysostosis
- 3. Antley-bixler syndrome
- 4. Armendares syndrome
- 5. Baller-gerold syndrome
- 6. Beare-stevenson cutis gyrata syndrome
- 7. Berant syndrome
- 8. Cap syndrome
- 9. Calabro syndrome
- 10. Christian syndrome
- 11. Cranioectodermal dysplasia
- 12. Craniofrontonasal syndrome
- 13. Crouzonodermoskeletal syndrome
- 14. Curry-jones syndrome
- 15. Fontaine-farriaux syndrome
- 16. Gómez-lópez-hernández syndrome
- 17. Hall syndrome
- 18. Herrmann syndrome
- 19. Holoprosencephaly/craniosynostosis syndrome
- 20. Hypomandibular faciocranial syndrome
- 21. Jackson-weiss syndrome
- 22. Jones craniosynostosis/dandy-walker syndrome
- 23. Kozlowski craniosynostosis syndrome

- 24. Lowry-maclean syndrome
- 25. Meier-gorlin (ear-patella-short stature) syndrome
- 26. Sakati syndrome
- 27. Scarf syndrome
- 28. Ventruto syndrome
- 29. Wisconsin syndrome

## V. CURRENT APPROACHES AND TREATMENT PHILOSOPHIES

The first surgical procedures to treat craniosynostosis were performed in the late 1800s, using methods including linear craniectomy and cranial vault fragmentation. Multiple further treatments were required as a result of the high rate of reossification and subpar aesthetic results of these early procedures. However, simple craniectomy is still used infrequently nowadays for temporary cranial decompression. Surgical remodelling of the damaged portion of the cranial vault and orbits has since replaced these early operations. In order to fully benefit from the skull's ability to regenerate at this age, surgery is typically done between 6 and 9 months of age.<sup>21</sup>

The pathologic suture was removed by strip craniectomy in the earliest attempts at surgical repair. Refusion, however, usually happened, negating any surgical advances. 22 Since then, more invasive techniques have developed, including reconstructing the entire calvarial vault in a single session. Such operations cut apart the bifrontal and biparieto-occipital fragments to enable radial osteotomies for recontouring, then wire or suture fixation to a condensed midline parietal segment. Prior to reattachment using just the underlying dura mater, each parietal bone is likewise separated and modified to promote lateral convexity. With this method, the synostotic limitation is not only removed, but transverse breadth is also increased, and calvarial shape is enhanced.<sup>23</sup>

Last but not least, an alternate, less invasive method for treating sagittal synostosis has recently been endoscopic extended strip craniectomy combined with postoperative moulding helmet therapy.<sup>24</sup>

Complications can accompany surgical treatment for craniosynostosis due to the comprehensive nature of treatments intended to rebuild the calvarial vault. Although various studies have claimed a mortality rate as high as 2.3%, the majority of worldwide data fall between 1.5 and 2%.

The majority of fatalities were related to hemorrhagic complications, but a number of additional causes, including as air emboli, cerebral edoema, and respiratory infections, have also been noted. Similar to haemorrhage, infection is a serious issue after calvarial remodelling. Following surgery, one may experience edoema, erythema, discomfort, or purulent leakage. Finally, it should be noted that neurologic consequences, such as cerebrospinal fluid leak and seizures brought on by intracerebral contusion or haemorrhage, are important factors to take into account. The calvaria enlarges during infancy and youth to make room for the developing brain. These cranial sutures—narrow seams of undifferentiated mesenchyme that connect several bones—are where this expansion takes place. 23

### **VI. CONCLUSION**

As a result of a combination of inadequate growth perpendicular to the fused suture and compensatory overgrowth at the non-fused sutures, one or more of the cranial sutures prematurely fuse, causing secondary distortion of the skull shape. According to estimates, craniosynostosis affects between 1 in 2100 and 1 in 2500 newborns worldwide.

There are two types of craniosynostosis: isolated or syndromic and non-syndromic. The disease is typically isolated and nonsyndromic, but in more severe cases, it may be worsened by elevated intracranial pressure, visual or hearing impairment, sleep difficulties, choanal atresia, or psychomotor delay in combination with intellectual disability.

In syndromic craniosynostoses, the deformation of the skull is accompanied by additional clinical symptoms, such as malformations of the hands and feet, skeletal and cardiac abnormalities, developmental delays, and others.

If neglected, craniosynostosis might aggravate cranial deformities and possibly hinder overall cranial growth, which would raise ICP. The malformation may cause psychosocial problems as the youngster develops and interacts with classmates.

Because untreated craniosynostosis carries hazards, it is typically surgically treated shortly after diagnosis. Acute and elective management are the two levels in the treatment of craniosynostosis. Acute management care is provided for newborns and infants with severe multisuture synostosis and is focused on maintaining the airway, assisting with feeding, protecting the eyes, and treating elevated ICP. Elective management is used to release and realign the bones. Its three main goals are to treat the malformation of the skull, stop it from getting worse, and lower the likelihood that ICP may rise in the future.

It is advised to follow up frequently throughout childhood, especially to keep an eye out for signs of an elevated ICP such headaches, behavioural changes, or a decline in academic ability.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mansour S, Magnan J, Haidar H, Nicolas K, Louryan S. (2013). Comprehensive and Clinical Anatomy of the Middle Ear. 1st ed. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer; 2013.
- [2] Chaurasia BD. BD Chaurasia's human anatomy regional and applied dissection and clinical.7th ed: Cbs Publishers & Distribu.2013.
- [3] Gilroy AM. Human Embryology and Developmental Biology.Clinical Anatomy. 13TH ed. St. Loius: Mosby;2000.
- [4] Kutkowska-Kaźmierczak A, Gos M, Obersztyn E. Craniosynostosis as a clinical and diagnostic problem: molecular pathology and genetic counseling. J Appl Genet. 2018 May;59(2):133-147.
- [5] Rice DP. Craniofacial Sutures Development, Disease and Treatment. 1ST Ed. London: kargar;2008.
- [6] Keating RF. Craniosynostosis: diagnosis and management in the new millennium. Pediatr Ann. 1997 Oct;26(10):600-12.
- [7] Sharma RK. Craniosynostosis in an Indian Scenario: A Long-term Follow-up, Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Global Open: March 2020 Volume 8 Issue 3 p e2696.
- [8] Kajdic N, Spazzapan P, Velnar T. Craniosynostosis Recognition, clinical characteristics, and treatment. Bosn J Basic Med Sci. 2018;18(2):110-116. Published 2018 May 20.

- [9] Shillito J Jr, Matson DD. Craniosynostosis: a review of 519 surgical patients. Pediatrics. 1968 Apr;41(4):829-53.
- [10] Choi JW, Lim SY, Shin HJ. Craniosynostosis in Growing Children: Pathophysiological Changes and Neurosurgical Problems. JKorean Neurosurg Soc. 2016;59(3):197-203.
- [11] Mooney MP, Siegel MI. Understanding Craniofacial Anomalies. 1st Ed. Canada: John Wiley and Sons; 2002.
- [12] Cohen MM, MacLean RE. Craniosynostosis : Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Management. Ed 2. New York : Oxford University Press, pp105-107.
- [13] Opperman LA: Cranial sutures as intramembranous bone growth sites. Dev Dyn 219: 472-485, 2000.
- [14] Rodeck CH, Whittle MJ: Fetal medicine : Basic Science and Clinical Practice, ed 2. London: Churchill Livingstone, 2009, pp39-4.
- [15] Allanson JE, Cunniff C, Hoyme HE, McGaughran J, Muenke M, Neri G. Elements of morphology: Standard terminology for the head and face. Am J Med Genet.2009;149A(1):6-28.
- [16] Anderson, J., Burns, H D, Enriquez-Harris, P., Wilkie, A. O., and Heath, J.K. (1998). Apert syndrome mutations in fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 exhibit increased affinity for FGF ligand. Hum. Molec. Genet. 7, 1475–1483.
- [17] Chung, C. S., and Myrianthopoulos, N. C. (1975). Factors affecting risks of congenital malformations. Analysis of epidemiologic factors in congenital malformations. Report from the Collaborative Perinatal Project. Birth Defects Orig. Article Ser. 11, 1–22.
- [18] Wilkie, A. O., Slaney, S. F., Oldridge, M., Poole, M. D., Ashworth, G. J., Hockley, A. D., Hayward, R. D., David, D. J., Pulleyn,
- [19] L. J., Rutland, P., Malcolm, S., Winter, R. M., and Reardon, W. (1995). Apert syndrome results from localized mutations of FGFR2 and is allelic with Crouzon syndrome. Nat. Genet. 9, 165– 172.
- [20] Kutkowska-Kaźmierczak A, Gos M, Obersztyn E. Craniosynostosis as a clinical and diagnostic problem: molecular pathology and genetic counseling. J Appl Genet. 2018 May;59(2):133-147.
- [21] Cohen MM Jr: Craniosynostosis and syndromes with craniosynostosis: Incidence, genetics, penetrance, variability, and new syndrome updating. Birth Defects 15(5B):13–63, 1979.
- [22] Disma N, O'Leary JD, Loepke AW, Brambrink AM, Becke K, Clausen NG, et al: Anesthesia and the developing brain: a way forward for laboratory and clinical research. Paediatr Anaesth 28:758–763, 2018.
- [23] Tellado MG, Lema A: Coronal suturectomy through minimal incisions and distraction osteogenesis are enough without other craniotomies for the treatment of plagiocephaly due to coronal synostosis. J Craniofac Surg 20:1975–1977, 2009.
- [24] Zeiger JS, Beaty TH, Hetmanski JB, Wang H, Scott AF, Kasch L, et al: Genetic and environmental risk factors for sagittal craniosynostosis. J Craniofac Surg 13:602–606,2002
- [25] Di Rocco F, Arnaud E, Renier D: Evolution in the frequency of nonsyndromic craniosynostosis. J Neurosurg Pediatr:21–25,2009.