

IS THERE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEN'S AND WOMEN'S VISUAL AND AUDITORY REACTION TIMES?

Abstract

Background: Reaction time is required for a muscular reaction to start after an administered stimulus (RT). RT assesses the pace at which the central nervous system analyses sensory information and executes it as a motor response. Our bodies react to various sorts of stimuli at varying rates. It is crucial to have this on hand for everyday and emergencies. Several factors, including gender, age, physical fitness, exhaustion, distraction, and alcohol, have impacted reaction time. This visual and aural reaction time area merits more investigation, specifically the impact of object colour (red), acoustic stimulation (beep tone), and gender.

Aims and Objectives: 1) To compare medical students' simple visual and auditory reaction times to red colour and beep tone.

2) To compare female and male medical students' simple visual and auditory reaction times.

Materials and Methods: After gaining ethical approval (IEC/GMC/Cat-C/2021/531 dated 24/05/2021) and signing informed consent, all 250 (125 women and 125 men divided into two groups) first-year medical students from the cohort of 2020-21 aged 17 to 20 were selected. Anand Agencies Pune developed RT equipment to record VRT and ART. The data were analysed with the SPSS 26.0 statistical program and the unpaired t-test.

Results: Study participants showed a statistically significant difference in their VRT for Red (R) and ART for Tone (T), respectively, with 0.199 ± 0.033 seconds and 0.082 ± 0.009 seconds ($p < 0.001$). As an added benefit, the variance of men's VRT was substantially more significant than that of women's VRT ($p = 0.001$), with a mean of 0.192 ± 0.026 seconds for men and 0.206 ± 0.037 seconds for women. In contrast, ART had no discernible gender difference ($p=0.246$).

Author

Dr. Retash Shan

**IS THERE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEN'S AND
WOMEN'S VISUAL AND AUDITORY REACTION TIMES?**

Conclusion: Our analysis revealed that the VRT for red was substantially higher than the ART for tone. This might be because the visual processing time is longer than the audio. Men also respond faster to VRT than women, although their responses to ART are considerably quicker or equivalent to those of women, which explain why these gender disparities occur.

Keywords: Auditory Reaction Time, Female, Male, Visual Reaction Time.

IS THERE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEN'S AND WOMEN'S VISUAL AND AUDITORY REACTION TIMES?

I. INTRODUCTION

Reaction time (RT) measures how quickly an organism responds to stimuli. Reaction time is the time it takes for a muscular response to occur after a trigger has been administered.¹ RT measures the rate at which the central nervous system analyses sensory data and executes it as a motor response. The human body reacts differently and at varying speeds to different sensory modalities. This is useful in both everyday situations and crises. These actions can be both typical and critical. Typical ones include replies to a phone call, a doorbell, game consoles on PCs, and even the whistle of a pressure cooker. Driving, operating a fighter jet, reacting to enemy fire, or simply averting an accident are all examples of critical ones.² Doctors, nurses, athletes, pilots, drivers, military personnel, and security guards must be vigilant. Hence their reaction times must be fast.

Various factors can affect reaction time, including gender, age, physical fitness, amount of fatigue, distraction, and alcohol.³ Three alternative response time tests are possible.

1. Experiment with simple reaction times: A uniform stimulus and uniform reaction are necessary. In simple reaction time tests, only one stimulus and one response are required. Calculate simple reaction time (e.g., React when seeing the colour or hearing the sound).
2. Choice reaction time tests include presenting several stimuli and monitoring how long it takes the individuals to react to each one. As a result, in choice reaction time tests, the subject is presented with at least two stimuli and is expected to respond accordingly.
3. Recognition reaction time experiments: Some stimuli should evoke a response while others should not.

The two primary components of reaction time are mental processing time (perception, identification, and analysis of stimuli) and movement time: This is the time it takes to act once you've determined what to do.

It's been widely acknowledged for over a century that the average simple reaction time for college-age students to light and sound stimuli is 190 milliseconds and 160 milliseconds, respectively.

Given the lack of literature on college students, more study on the effects of object colour (Red), auditory stimuli (Beep Tone), and gender on simple Visual reaction time (VRT) and Auditory reaction time (ART) is advised. The RT of medical students, who are the cream of society, aids in gaining a broad understanding of the RT of the Jammu region. As a result, this study was carried out to investigate gender bias in RT and scientifically contribute to RT.

II. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

1. To compare medical students' simple visual and auditory reaction times to red colour and beep tone.
2. To compare female and male medical students' simple visual and auditory reaction times.

IS THERE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEN'S AND WOMEN'S VISUAL AND AUDITORY REACTION TIMES?

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cross-sectional observational research was done after ethical permission (IEC/GMC/Cat-C/2021/531 dated 24/05/2021) on 250 (split into two groups of 125 female (Group 1) and 125 male (Group 2) healthy first-year medical students in the 2020-21 batch between the ages of 17 and 20.

IV. EXCLUSION CRITERIA

1. The background of smoking and alcoholism.
2. Those with a history of hearing and vision problems.
3. Medications are known to impact cognitive function.
4. Those diagnosed with severe disease in the past or current.

All participants provided written consent based on knowledge. Anand Agencies Pune designed the audiovisual RT equipment used in RT recording. The device runs on 220 volts AC. A digital chronoscope on the examiner's side measures the RT. It has a precision of 0.001s.⁶ After connecting the instrument to the power source, the subject was instructed to sit in front of it. During August and September 2021, it was conducted between 3:30 and 4:30 p.m. in a human laboratory with enough lighting and a quiet ambience.

The visual stimulus consisted of red-coloured illumination. The auditory stimulation consisted of a beep/tone sound. Separately, visual and audio stimuli were presented. To prevent the effect of the lateralised stimulus, frontal visual and audio stimuli were presented to the individuals. Sitting comfortably and relaxed on the chair, all tests were taken. Subjects' responses were collected following a thorough explanation of the technique and familiarisation with the test.

The participant was told to press the response key with their index fingers on their hand and release the key as soon as a blinking red light or beeping sound was detected. The subject's best reading was determined by calculating the mean of three tasks.

V. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical data analysis was conducted using the unpaired t-test with the help of the SPSS 26.0 version software. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

- 1. Results:** This study examined 250 first-year medical students of comparable age and good health. Group 1 consisted of 125 female participants, whereas Group 2 had 125 male participants. Each individual's height, weight, and BMI were assessed. Height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) were all significantly different between males and females ($p < 0.0001$). Analysed data are presented in tables (Table 1-6) below. We discovered that subjects' VRT for Red (R) (Mean: 0.199 ± 0.033 sec) and Tone (T) (Mean: 0.082 ± 0.009 sec) were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$). Moreover, the variation of VRT in males (Mean: (R) 0.192 ± 0.026 sec, was statistically significant than in females (Mean: (R) 0.206 ± 0.037 sec) ($p = 0.001$). However, the variation in ART in males (Mean:

**IS THERE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEN'S AND
WOMEN'S VISUAL AND AUDITORY REACTION TIMES?**

Tone (T) 0.081 ± 0.009 sec) and females (Mean: Tone (T) 0.082 ± 0.008 sec) was not found to be statistically significant ($p = 0.246$).

Table 1: Distribution of study subjects by gender

S. No	Group	Gender	Frequency	Per cent
1	1	Females	125	50.0
2	2	Males	125	50.0
Total			250	100.0

**Table 2: Distribution of demographic characteristics of investigated subjects
(Group 1 and 2 combined)**

Parameter	Number	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Age (Years)	250	18.0080	0.6941	17.00	20.00
Weight (Kg)	250	55.7680	6.2080	45.00	74.00
Height (cm)	250	165.0840	10.7508	145.00	180.00
BMI (Kg/m^2)	250	20.4492	1.6852	16.20	25.40

**Table 3: Comparison of demographic characteristics of female (Group 1) and male
(Group 2) individuals**

Parameter	Gender	Number	Mean	SD	Significance	
					t-value	p-value
Age (Years)	Females	125	18.1040	0.7114	-4.137	0.097
	Males	125	17.8320	0.6316		
Weight (Kg)	Females	125	50.7040	2.3211	22.341	<0.0001
	Males	125	60.8320	4.5058		
Height (cm)	Females	125	155.1680	3.9772	38.110	<0.0001
	Males	125	170.0000	4.2464		
BMI (Kg/m^2)	Females	125	21.0417	1.3578	-5.927	<0.0001
	Males	125	19.8568	1.7753		

**Table 4: Difference in Visual and Auditory Reaction Times for Simple RT Tasks Across
All Subjects**

Reaction Time (RT)	Number	Mean RT (Sec)	SD (Sec)	t-value	p-value
Visual RT (Red Color)	250	0.199	0.033	54.200	< 0.001
Auditory RT (Tone)	250	0.082	0.009		

**IS THERE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEN'S AND
WOMEN'S VISUAL AND AUDITORY REACTION TIMES?**

Table 5: Comparison of VRT (Red Color) VS ART (Tone) in Group 1 and Group 2

Group	Gender	Number	Mean RT Sec)	SD (Sec)	t-value	p-value
Group 1	VRT(Females)	125	0.206	0.037	36.162	< 0.001
	ART(Females)	125	0.082	0.008		
Group 2	VRT (Males)	125	0.192	0.026	44.372	< 0.001
	ART (Males)	125	0.081	0.009		

Table 6: Comparison of VRT (Red colour) and ART (Beep/Tone) in Group 1 VS Group 2

Group	Reaction Time	Number	Mean RT (Sec)	SD (Sec)	t-value	p-value
Group 1 vs Group 2	VRT(Females)	125	0.206	0.037	-3.373	0.001
	VRT (Males)	125	0.192	0.026		
Group 1 vs Group 2	ART(Females)	125	0.082	0.008	1.163	0.246
	ART (Males)	125	0.081	0.009		

VI. DISCUSSION

Visual reaction (VRT) and Auditory reaction time (ART) are non-invasive procedures used to evaluate the sensory and motor activity of the central nervous system (CNS). In addition, it gives information on the CNS's integration capacity for sensory and motor impulses. A delay in reaction time indicates brain injury, mental illness, or other psychopathologies. On people with diabetes, people with schizophrenia, noise-exposed employees, and others, combined investigations of VRT and ART have been performed.

The notion of RT was initially described by Abu Rayhan al-Biruni.⁷ Dutch scientist Franciscus Cornelis Donders (1865) was the first to systematically measure human RT using the telegraph-like device invented by Charles Wheatstone in 1840. No significant traceable thread existed in the literature discussing human RTs measured before his findings.⁸ Very few studies in the medical literature can determine RTs in medical students. Thus, the purpose of this study was to see if there is a gender influence on RTs among medical students.

In the current study, participants' VRT for Red (R) and ART for Tone (T), with 0.199 ± 0.033 seconds and 0.082 ± 0.009 seconds, respectively, exhibited a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.001$). In addition, the variation of men's VRT was more significant than that of women's ($p = 0.001$), with a mean of 0.192 ± 0.026 seconds for males and 0.206 ± 0.037 seconds for women. In contrast, there were no statistically significant differences between the sexes in terms of ART ($p = 0.246$).

When comparing two types of stimuli in study subjects, ART outperformed VRT, consistent with earlier research.^{5,9} This is due to the more significant number of synapses in the visual pathway than in the auditory pathway. The optical path takes 20-40ms to travel, but the auditory pathway takes just 8-10ms.¹⁰ VRT is possibly more than ART because visual reactions entail chemical ways for information processing, primarily for converting from a

IS THERE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEN'S AND WOMEN'S VISUAL AND AUDITORY REACTION TIMES?

photon to a bioelectric stimulus, which takes longer than restoring from a pressure wave to bioelectric stimulation.¹¹ In contrast, Yagi et al.¹² research indicate that RT to visual stimuli is quicker than to auditory stimuli. Consequently, this work provides evidence that ART is shorter than VRT, even when confounding variables are eliminated in medical students.

Numerous researchers have investigated the effect of gender on AVRT (audiovisual reaction time) and produced similar results indicating that females had longer RTs than men.¹³⁻¹⁵ According to our study, male medical students had quicker RTs than female medical students, consistent with other research. A possible explanation for gender disparities in reaction times is that men and women use different processing strategies. As a result of evolution, men may rely on their RT to protect themselves and their families from predators when out foraging for food. Other jobs that need less attention and a considerable evolutionary drop in response times might be performed by women. Several research has demonstrated the superiority of women.¹⁶ Alternative ideas include that women with a more diminutive frame and body size may have shorter axons that match their limb length, leading to faster transit times, and positively affecting the RTs of women with smaller frames. On the other hand, the contemporary woman is involved in every imaginable way, just like her male counterparts.²

1. **Limitations of the Study:** Exercise or regular physical activity was not included, even though other researchers have shown it impacts RT. More research is needed to incorporate physical activity, age range, and other anthropometric factors.
2. **Conclusion:** As a result of our investigation, we discovered that VRT for Red colour was significantly higher than ART for Tone in the study population. This might be because visuals' mental processing time is longer than hearing. In the case of the VRT, males have a faster reaction time than females, although their ART reaction time is comparable.

Males respond to changes in their surroundings faster than females. Among the potential mechanisms are:

1. Males have more muscle fibres than females, allowing them to do physical tasks faster.
2. Males have a shorter time lag between the appearance of a stimulus and the onset of a motor reaction to a stimulus than females.

REFERENCES

- [1] Magill RA. Motor learning and control: concepts and applications, 5th edition Boston USA; Mc Graw-Hill 1998,pp.19.
- [2] Dey CK, Daokar RG. A Gender-based comparative study of visual and auditory reaction time on ist year medical students “Before” and “After” caffeine intake. Int J Sci Stud 2018; 6(2): 39-42.
- [3] Der G, Deary IJ. Age and Sex differences in reaction time in adulthood: Results from the United Kingdom Health and Lifestyle survey. Psychol Aging 2006; 21: 62.
- [4] Miller JO, Low K. Motor processes in simple, go/no-go, and choice reaction time tasks: a psychophysiological analysis. Journal of Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform. 2001 Apr; 27(2): 266-289.

**IS THERE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEN'S AND
WOMEN'S VISUAL AND AUDITORY REACTION TIMES?**

- [5] Welford AT. Choice reaction time: Basic Concepts. In: A.T. Welford (Ed.), Reaction Times. New York: Academic Press, 1980. pp. 73-128.
- [6] Reaction Time Apparatus Manual. Pune: Anand Agencies; 2004.p. 12-6.
- [7] Muhammed I. The spirit of Muslim culture, The Reconstruction of Religious Thought in Islam. Available from: <http://www.allamaiqbal.com/works/prose/english/reconstruction>.
- [8] Jain A, Bansal R, Kumar A, Singh KD. A comparative study of visual and auditory reaction times on the basis of gender and physical activity levels of medical first-year students. *Int J Appl Basic Med Res*. 2015 May-Aug; 5(2): 124-7.
- [9] Woodworth RS and H Schlosberg. *Experimental Psychology*. Henry Holt, New York. 1956.
- [10] Kemp BJ. Reaction time of young and elderly subjects in relation to perceptual deprivation and signal-on versus signal-off conditions. *Developmental Psychology*, 1973; 8(2): 268-272.
- [11] Pal GK, Pal Pravati. *Text Book of Practical Physiology*. 5th- edition. Hyderabad: Universities Press (India) Private Limited; 2020.
- [12] Yagi Y, Coburn KL, Estes KM, Arruda JE. Effects of aerobic exercise and gender on visual and auditory P300, reaction time, and accuracy. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1999; 80: 402-8.
- [13] Shelton J, Kumar GP. Comparison between auditory and visual simple reaction times. *Neurosci Med* 2010; 1: 30-32.
- [14] Misra N, Mahajan KK, Maini BK. Comparative study of visual and auditory reaction time of hands and feet in males and females. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol*. 1985 Oct-Dec; 29(4): 213-18.
- [15] Nikam LH, Gadkari JV. Effect of age, gender, and body mass index on visual and auditory reaction times in the Indian population. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol*. 2012 Jan-Mar; 56(1): 94-99.
- [16] Shenvi D, & Balasubramanian P. Comparative study of visual and auditory reaction times in males and females. *Ind J Physiol Pharmacol*. 1994; 38(3): 229-231.